



William Shirmer Barker II

Manuscript Collection #088

Box 309.1

Abstract: Creation Study Committee Materials.

Span dates: 1972–2008

Size: 1.0 cu. ft.

Administrative History: Arranged and described by Evan Kvale, 2026.

File—Subject

f01—Finding Aid

f02—Evolution and Creation, 1981-1984, Miscellaneous articles and correspondence.

f03—Roberts, Michael B., “Geology and Genesis Unearthed,” [p. 225-255 from *The Churchman* (1998; photocopy)]

f04—Creation Days, undated, Materials by John Lightfoot [photocopies]

f05—Theistic Evolution, Questions concerning; includes letters from (1.) TE Don Clements, 3/11/1996; (2.) Tim Duskin (OPC), 1/22/1997; (3.) Dr. Roy Taylor—progress report on the Creation Study Committee, 10/18/1999; (4.) Miscellaneous photocopies

f06—Creation, Days of, 1998-2000, Correspondence, Materials

f07—Creation Days, 1998, Westminster Statement on, and Files Concerning

f08—Hall, David W., undated, Papers on Creation and the Westminster Confession

f09—Creation Study Committee, 1998–1999, David Hall on Westminster Creation Days

f10—Barker, William S., 1998, Reply to David W. Hall, Creation Study Committee

f11—Creation Study Committee, 1999, Materials on the Views of the Westminster Divines

f12—Creation Study Committee, 1999, Letter from James Thornwell to George F. Holmes and Related Articles on Creation Days

f13—Smith, Morton H., 1999, Constitutional Aspects of the Study and Responses by Other Committee Members

f14—Creation Study Committee, Minutes, 1999

f15—Creation Study Committee, Arrangements, 1999–2000

f16—Creation Study Committee, 1999–2000, Materials on Westminster’s Views, Papers and Correspondence on History of Interpretation

f17—Creation Study Committee, 2000, Materials and Correspondence on General Revelation

f18—Creation Study Committee, 2000, History of the Interpretation of the Days of Creation

f19—“Westminster Assembly on the Days of Creation: A Reply to David W. Hall,” 2000, Correspondence and Materials Concerning

f20—Day/Age Subcommittee, 1999, Correspondence, Papers

f21—Greene-McCreight, K. E., *Ad Litteram* pp. 20–21 and 30–31

f22—Collins, Jack, 1999, Proposal for a Consensus Recommendation.

f23—Poythress, Vern S., 1999, Timing in Genesis 1 and Response

f24—Stull, Clark D., Preliminary Translation of Genesis 1. [14 p.]

f25—Harris, R. Laird, “The Length of the Creative Days in Genesis 1,” 1999

f26—Days of Creation – “Analogical Days” Definitions

f27—Creation Study Committee, 2000, Day-Age Report

f28—Creation Study Report, as edited by Howard Griffith and Morton H. Smith



f29—Creation Study Report, 2nd edit

f30—Griffith, Howard, 2000, Floppy Disk from

f31—Creation Study Committee, 2000, Correspondence About Report

f32—Creation Study Committee, Post-submission Comments by Committee Members

f33—News Coverage and Other Reports, 2000, for Creation Study Committee

f34—Creation Study Committee, Requests Received from the Public

f35—Schreiner, Susan E., Eve, the Mother of History. Reaching for the Reality of History in Augustine's Later Exegesis of Genesis. [photocopy]

f36—Creation and Genesis One Conference, 2003, Calvary OPC, Cedar Grove, WI, with two messages brought by Dr. Barker: (1.) Day-Age Analogical Work Days: A Personal Pilgrimage; (2.) Creation and the Westminster Divines.

f37—Lewis, Tayler, 1855, "The Six Days of Creation" [photocopy]