

Philosophy

January 11, 1937.

Dr. Gordon H. Clark, 410 E. Illinois Street, Wheaton, Illinois.

Dear Gordon:

Thanks for your Christmes letter, which, owing to the economical closing of the mail office, did not reach me till January 4. Let me then return belated new Year's wishes for these retarded Christmas greetings.

As I look over the program of your work, I am relieved to find that Satan is likely to find no work for your hands to do; not, that is, if he waits for them to be idle. And how the "writing" can progress, without such a schedule regressing, is more than I can imagine. You must have - what they call - the strength of the devil, even though you do not exercise it in his behalf.

What can I tell you of Francis and Chester, pending such time as they may be ready to tell you about themselves! Of Chester, I have the unpleasant news to communicate that he is in hospital, recovering (favorably, I am glad to hear) from an operation for appendicitis. Before undergoing the operation, he had, I know, something "in press" (the de Benneville press), but just how far he had progressed, I shall not know until his new pages are released. Francis is well and flourishing, in that aggressively celibate and comfortable monastery, the University Club. On showing him your letter, he said that he had "at last put something on paper", and promised to let us look over it -- which is something I should look forward to with interest, even if what he had on paper concerning Augustine had nothing to do with a work in whose progress I am interested. Augustine has always both attracted and baffled me -- "and not you alone", you will say.



-2-

Philosophy

But I will suggest both to Chester and to Francis that they send you their pages as soon as they shall have come from "press".

You say, "And all the while I wonder where I shall be next year"; and repeat some fairly cheerful news transmitted through the Williams. I think that news is fairly to be depended on, though I have heard very little even in the way of definite gossip. But I do feel that "things are looking up". Now, Gordon, I wish you would formulate (and Smith asks me to add his wish to mine) what you would be willing to have us say in your name to the budget authorities. That we should go on urging your advance in rank and pay, using the most forceful arguments we could think of, goes without saying. Can we say, that unless you have better assurances as to the future than the past could give you reason to expect, you would rather not return? Or can we advance something less in the way of an ultimatum, but unmistakably in the way of a reserve? If you were not to come back, we should be utterly up a stump in the matter of finding any successor even half-way acceptable to the department, as continuing a tradition of sound scholarship in the field you cultivate. But, you know it to be a cardinal principle with us that the interest of the member comes before that of the group in all our planning. Tell us, as soon as may be, just how far you are willing to have us go.

As for the work on "analogy in philosophy", I can well imagine it will have to wait. Let it. It is (at least in the sense I suggested in my last letter) a fascinating subject. But the <u>history</u> is a more profitable one, particularly if we get it on the market soon. So be as patient as you are (incurably) industrious.

This letter is all full of shop. But let me take room at the end to send greetings from "house to house". It is not, I suppose, de flattering as it might be; but, between ourselves, Mrs. Singer generally refers to you as, "you mean the father of that baby! - or perhaps, the husband of the mother of "that baby". Don't feel hurt



-3-

Philosophy

though. That's the way all fathers of handsome babies fare at the hands of the mothers of this world. They don't know any better, poor things. At any rate, don't fail to give my lady's love to your lady and her baby.

Sincerely,

Edgen A. Suiger, I.

Wheaton College Wheaton, Ill. January 33rd 1937.

Dr. Edgar A. Singer, Jr. Univerity of Pennsylvania Philadelphia, Pa.

Dear Dr. Singer,

The quiet humor of your letters, as well as of your conversation, is always a source of enjoyment. And perhaps the womanly intuition of Mrs. Singer in referring to me as the father of that baby is more instictively flattering than you seem to believe. Indeed I am afraid that I have become a very fond papa and cannot refrain from enclosing the latest snap-shot.

I am sorry that Chester has had to undergo an operation. Last summer he mentioned the possibility, but was delaying because of the expense. Your encouraging news implies that the delay did not cause any serious complications. By this time, I trust, he is well recovered.

Under separate cover I am sending the chapter on The Hellenistic Age. You have already read about the first forty pages, but they could no doubt profit again by your criticisms. The section on Plotinus is awful. But Dr. Husik says Plotinus is awful anyway. If you can suggest diverting filler, I should be only too glad to include it. Will you kindly show the chapter to Francis, Chester, and to Dr. Husik if he cares to examine it, as I hope he will. The criticisms may be returned to me with page and paragraph references, without returning the chapter. There is little ohance of confusion.

As for next years possibilities, your suggestion of something in the way or a reserve though less than an ultimatum seems the best policy. For since I should like to come back, I do not want a bluff called. You need not tell the administration that I do not want to stay at Wheaton unless I have to. There are attractions here, and it is the definition of "have to" which is difficult. The friendship and insignation of the philosophy department at Penn is invaluable; the truly pleasant friendship here is not philosophical and solitude brings the risk of stagnation. The house on St. Mark's Square is another reason for wishing to stay in Phila, and there are still others.

On the other hand, to return as an instructor again savors of disgrace; in fact remaining twelve years an instructor is almost a disgrace. Then again there are two persons who dislike Dr. Smith and are for that reason, I judge, disinclined to favor he philosophy department. The same two love me no more, and church affiliations lead one of them to wish for and to work for, both in the civil courts and in private, my embarrassment whether financial professional, or personal. Only a promotion can insure some degree of stability; and if I do not accept a promotion from Wheaton, and if I cannot obtain one at Penn, I cannot guess where the next avenue of advancement may be.

At any rate, with full recognition and appreciation of your previous efforts to obtain a promotion, it now seems highly desirable, not to say necessary practically, to have a definite commitment from the administration in order to come to a decision.

Give my best regards to everyone in the office, and thank de Benneville for sending me the first part of the fifth Ennead.

Cordially yours,



Philosophy

February 15, 1937.

Dr. Gordon H. Clark, 410 E. Illinois Avenue, Wheaton, Illinois.

Dear Gordon:

When one clear-headed fellow has to tell another how things turned out, when things did turn out exactly opposite to the way both fellows wanted them to, the best thing is to be as blunt as possible.

Smith did not wait for the accustomed season to take up with Dean and Vice-Provost the problem which your letter formulated so conservatively, and which was our problem no less than yours. To neither of us was it new except in one detail; but that detail was important. You wore no longer in the position of one who had no choice of his own, but must leave his future entirely to the decision of others. But we understood that you were no more anxious than we were to see that choice forced into a decision unfavorable to the maintaining of old relations. And so matters were presented, as I know from Smith, and with that understanding were discussed.

Gordon, I am sorry to say the attitude of the administration remains absolutely unchanged; and not only does it show no promise of changing; but gives every promise of not changing. The reasons, whatevor they are, are the same: Icould not formulate them accurately if I would and therefore will not try to analyse them at all. For it is not to analyse them to repeat what you already know, that they have nothing to do with your scholarship, your integrity, your honor. On the contrary, I suspect that if these reasons were known to you, you would take the deepest of them to be an honor to you. But whatever they are, there they are, and are to the best of our belief unshakable. Smith



Philosophy

reports that the last word of the administration was: "Advise Dr. Clark to remain where he is".

Of course, there is no question of the door being closed to you should you wish to return. But who could wish it under these conditions? Or rather, who could wish it for you, however much one might wish it for one's own sake? None of the department could urge that you throw your own interests so to the winds as to reject all your present opportunities in order not to break a departmental connection which we hope is as pleasant to you as it is both pleasant and valuable to us.

With these more vital things weighing on my mind, I am not in the mood to say all that I should like to say on the <u>Hellenistic Period</u>. Only this much: you are quite mistaken about it. It is just as fine as the rest of your writing; and if you feel it to be more difficult for the reader, the answer is: were it not so, it would be less true to the Hellenistic Period. I am handing it on to Francis: he is, I understand, about ready to "tie on" to it. But he will no doubt tell you of all that himself.

Very sincerely yours,

Edgas G. Singa, 14.

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA PHLADELPHIA

THE COLLEGE

Philosophy

Faberray 11, 1940

Sear graden : This is purpert, as would. The more I shink of it, she more I ful that the final and complete Type script should go directly from your hands to bropts. Confisient as I may be that the popus are in the cight orders, there is no use of mining the risk of anne eretly in advertance. I'see here the copy cutil I hear from your, and and time cits be look if I mail all I have received back to your at made.

I may ber minergrapho of the objective to which you reprose you, I will and them to your the sequel to sugned enertaining the wardy have before the article : it was an address to the dutimational Carguess of 1930, and is published in the doscardings of dead year. But I have just cardings of dead year. But I have just cardings of dead year. But I have just cardings of dead year. But I have producer. product relation with great are; and will when the objection on article, your include it with this popers in the Experimentalist.

for luck ! I am glad the

pure.

east

STILL C



Philosophy

Rosenber 18, 144 3

Dear Gordon ;

If I had writhen you as often as I have thought of you, and wondered about you, your nearly would have been rooker heavy. But there comes a time when me really does tout to kund " alent mis old ferinds, and down me lets mesself go. What I and want parti. are and to know is this . Some line this fall, I received a littles from a faculty muses at keryland, asking me what I threaght of you love I thought a lot of you, as you may quees. It is true, I mought you too good for the jot (hough I didn't hell him that, which seemed to sue to give little sampe to your eplanded Ocholarship. But you had hold are that your

were embedgepy at Wheala - at which I did ast wonder - and I strught you might care to consider an affer that might be pleasant enough, though it did ast promise to use sums them a modecum of what you have to give. I have beard northing sum - did anytheig come of it."

I am suppying having your admening the don't Lout ins in my hand services. Tom king I learned that you had resequed your chairs at W. , with something of your reasons for doing so. a very emservation something, yet one shot seemed to me so intelligible [knowing you] had I farry it was not for from what you would have said - and porte. bly amplified - yms sig. At any rate, the situation it pretures at W. would repeat one that has so office marked the hickory of them. ity in one after another of its demonition himd expressions. A current when a present reached by the efforts of shudings theologians to give reasons for the fairly but is in them, is followed by one in which certain de wout sould want more neadle of fealingful fait, and has of Kinght fub reasons. Lea, e.g., The surgence of "Riedism" within The Lucheran communica.

TEL 15, 743 0

UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA THE COLLEGE B

Philosophy

Philadelphia

chare after buch. Jegthe whole history elsewhere, Е herengy will offend three porpassing, and real universe pouble error -8. by your collespece, or your chenness of highming whether with the commences of your scholarskip, you your thereby you had found it in our exportanced verden a separtment of philosophy. Lin - which is to any, your read order re of enn that you creed fins a nick (I through all I con loved, Jundon, what I have always hoped Lonustanso, that an comoly experies hunny I aufopere any u, Å Ŀ. nor carry and about an opposite hirry the tunan pearst af. shough not shows J. yu are a 1 nay and capacity of anding to do A I ail be Xu

a real scholas, and a real interpretes of history, call on me for all that letters can do.

meanwhile, Menny Kness forme Mins. Sieges and me he Mins. Girden, you, and the baby - Shelin's bety - whether or and anylonger a baby. bur bobies are far from home; Edges, a captain of mariner, Richard, a bierturant in medical corps. second aristion. But E. is getting home for kness, drive herei.

always yours, Eas. b.

P.I. I am anding you a recent reprint gives "to recall old himes in the shop"." In return, when near your comits one, please dign your full manne. I want to pash the autographs that I cannot have directly inscribed in the prepulation copy of the Fredschrift. E. At.

